

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/889,137	OAKLEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	BORIS PESIN	2174	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BORIS PESIN.

(2) Catherine Voorhees.

**Date of Interview:** 8 April 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:

43 and 44

  

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner wanted to get permission to cancel claims 43-44 in order to put this case in condition for allowance. The Applicant's representative agreed to cancel the claims via an Examiner's amendment.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/Boris Pesin/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2174

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)